

JONATHAN A. STIEGLITZ, ESQ.
(SBN 278028)
jonathan.a.stieglitz@gmail.com
THE LAW OFFICES OF
JONATHAN A. STIEGLITZ
11845 W. Olympic Blvd., Suite 750
Los Angeles, California 90064
Telephone: (323) 979-2063
Facsimile: (323) 488-6748

Attorney for Plaintiff

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
EASTERN DIVISION**

DANIEL SAFT

Plaintiff,

-against-

ARMCON CORPORATION d/b/a
COACHELLA VALLEY
COLLECTION SERVICE

Defendant.

Case No.:

COMPLAINT for violations of the Fair
Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C.
§ 1692 *et seq.* and the Rosenthal Fair
Debt Collections Practices Act, Cal. Civ.
Code § 1788 *et seq.* and **DEMAND
FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff Daniel Saft ("Plaintiff") by and through his attorneys, The Law
Offices of Jonathan A. Stieglitz, as and for his Complaint against Defendant
Armcon Corporation d/b/a Coachella Valley Collection Service ("Defendant")
respectfully sets forth, complains and alleges, upon information and belief, the
following:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. The Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C.
§ 1331, as well as 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq.* and 28 U.S.C. § 2201. The Court

1 also has pendant jurisdiction over the State law claims in this action pursuant
2 to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).

3
4 2. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C.
5 § 1391(b)(2).

6
7 3. Plaintiff brings this action for damages and declaratory and injunctive
8 relief arising from the Defendant's violations of 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq.*,
9 commonly known as the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act ("FDCPA"),
10 and Cal. Civ. Code § 1788 *et seq.*, commonly known as the Rosenthal Fair
11 Debt Collections Practices Act ("RFDCPA").
12

13
14 **PARTIES**

15
16 4. Plaintiff is a resident of the State of California, residing in the County
17 of Riverside.

18
19 5. Defendant is "debt collector" as the phrase is defined in 15 U.S.C.
20 § 1692(a)(6) and used in the FDCPA, with an address at PO Box 13621 Palm
21 Desert CA.
22

23
24 **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

25 6. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this
26 Complaint as though fully stated herein with the same force and effect as if
27 the same were set forth at length herein.
28

1 7. On information and belief, on a date better known to Defendant,
2 Defendant began collection activities on an alleged consumer debt from the
3 Plaintiff (“Alleged Debt”).
4

5 8. The Alleged Debt was incurred as a financial obligation that was
6 primarily for personal, family or household purposes and is therefore a
7 “debt” as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).
8

9 9. The reporting of a debt to a credit bureau is a “communication” as the
10 term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2).
11

12 10. Defendant reported the Alleged Debt on Plaintiff’s credit report.

13 11. Plaintiff disputed the debt directly with Defendant by letter on July 16,
14 2015.
15

16 12. Plaintiff examined his credit report again on August 31, 2015, and saw
17 that Defendant had neither removed the Alleged Debt from his credit report,
18 nor had it marked as “disputed by consumer” despite being required to do so
19 by the FDCPA. Additionally, Defendant had re-reported the Alleged Debt in
20 August of 2015, after it had received Plaintiff’s dispute letter, without
21 reporting it as disputed.
22

23 13. As a result of Defendant's improper debt collection practices described
24 above, Plaintiff has been damaged.
25
26
27
28

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION
(Violations of the FDCPA)

14. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.

15. Defendant's debt collection efforts attempted and/or directed towards Plaintiff violate various provisions of the FDCPA, including but not limited to 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, 1692e(2), 1692e(8), 1692e(10) and 1692f.

16. As a result of the Defendant's violations of the FDCPA, Plaintiff has been damaged and is entitled to damages in accordance with the FDCPA.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION
(Violations of the RFDCPA)

17. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.

18. The RFDCPA requires debt collectors to comply with the FDCPA, and a violation of the FDCPA is also a violation of the RFDCPA. Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.17.

19. The remedies under the FDCPA and RFDCPA are cumulative, and Plaintiff is entitled to damages under both acts.

1 20. Upon information and belief, Defendant willfully and knowingly
2 committed the violations of the FDCPA and RFDCPA described herein, and
3 Plaintiff is entitled to statutory damages pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code
4 § 1788.30(b).
5

6
7
8 **DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY**

9 21. Plaintiff demands and hereby respectfully requests a trial by jury for
10 all claims and issues this complaint to which Plaintiff is or may be entitled to
11 a jury trial.
12

13
14 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

15
16 **WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff demands judgment from the Defendants as follows:

17 a) For actual damages provided and pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1)
18 and Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.30(a);
19

20 b) For statutory damages provided and pursuant to 15 U.S.C.
21 § 1692k(a)(2)(A) and Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.30(b);
22

23 c) For attorney fees and costs provided and pursuant to 15 U.S.C.
24 § 1692k(a)(3) and Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.30(c);

25 d) A declaration that the Defendant's practices violated the FDCPA and
26 the RFDCPA; and
27
28

1 e) For any such other and further relief, as well as further costs, expenses
2 and disbursements of this action as this Court may deem just and proper.
3
4

5 Dated: November 25, 2015

6 THE LAW OFFICES OF
7 JONATHAN A. STIEGLITZ

8 By: /s/ Jonathan A Stieglitz
9 Jonathan A Stieglitz
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28